

บรรณานุกรม

ภาษาไทย

- กร นามภูษะคุ. "สื่อมวลชนกับความมั่นคงของประเทศ," นิเทศศาสตร์ 5.4 (กุมภาพันธ์ 2520).
- กมลจักร โพธิ์พร้อม. "ปัญหาทางกฎหมายมหาชนบางประการเกี่ยวกับการควบคุมการใช้ข่าวสารโดยเครื่องคอมพิวเตอร์" วิทยานพนธ์ปริญญามหาบัณฑิต ภาควิชานิติศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย, 2529.
- กมล พลวัน. "ปัญหาการคุ้มครองสิทธิมนุษยชนโดยสหประชาชาติ", วิทยานพนธ์ปริญญามหาบัณฑิต ภาควิชานิติศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย, 2516.
- เกรียงไกร เจริญนาวัฒน์. "องค์การและวิธีการคุ้มครองประชาชนและควบคุมฝ่ายปกครองภายในฝ่ายปกครองในประเทศไทย" วิทยานพนธ์ปริญญามหาบัณฑิต, ภาควิชานิติศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย, 2531.
- เกียรติชจร วัจนะสวัสดิ์. "หลักการไม่ยอมรับฟังพยานวัตถุพยานเอกสารซึ่งได้มาโดยการจับ การค้น การยึดที่ไม่ชอบด้วยกฎหมายในสหรัฐอเมริกา" วารสารนิติศาสตร์ (ปีที่ 9 ฉบับที่ 3, 2520) : 120-136.
- _____ . "หลักประกันจากการถูกจับกุมตรวจค้นที่ไม่ชอบธรรม" วารสารนิติศาสตร์ (ปีที่ 11 ฉบับที่ 3, 2523), 453-468.
- โกศล โสภาคย์วิจิตร. "รายงานผลการวิจัยเรื่องการคุ้มครองสิทธิมนุษยชนตามกฎหมายไทย" กรุงเทพมหานคร : จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย, 2523.
- เข็มชัย ชูติวงศ์. คำอธิบายกฎหมายลักษณะพยาน, กรุงเทพมหานคร : สำนักพิมพ์นิติบรรณการ, 2529.
- คณิต ณ นคร. กฎหมายวิธีพิจารณาความอาญา, กรุงเทพมหานคร : เจริญวิทย์การพิมพ์ 2528.
- _____ . "ฐานะของผู้ถูกกล่าวหาในคดีอาญา" บทบัญญัติ (เล่มที่ 42 ตอน 2, มิถุนายน 2529) : 10-20.

- _____ . "วิธีพิจารณาความอาญาไทย : หลักกฎหมายกับทางปฏิบัติที่ไม่ตรงกัน"
วารสารนิติศาสตร์ (ปีที่ 15 ฉบับที่ 3 กันยายน 2528) : 1-17.
- คัมภีร์ แก้วเจริญ. "ฎีกาวิเคราะห์ จับ ค้น : ผลของการละเมิดสิทธิส่วนบุคคลในแง่พยาน
หลักฐาน" วารสารอัยการ (ปีที่ 2 ฉบับที่ 13 พฤษภาคม 2522) : 61-71.
- จงรัก จุฑานนท์. "การคุ้มครองสิทธิของประชาชนต่อการถูกจับและตรวจค้นที่ไม่ชอบธรรม"
วิทยานิพนธ์ปริญญาโทบริหารคดี, ภาควิชานิติศาสตร์, บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหา
วิทยาลัย, 2524.
- จรัญ ภัคดีธนากุล. "บทตัดพยานบอกเล่าในกฎหมายไทย", วารสารกฎหมาย (ปีที่ 6
ฉบับที่ 3, 2524) : 2.
- จิรนิติ หะวานนท์. "หลักการไม่รับฟังพยานหลักฐานที่ได้มาโดยมิชอบ : เปรียบเทียบ
ระหว่างกฎหมายอเมริกันและกฎหมายเยอรมัน" วารสารดุลพาห (ปีที่ 31,
พฤษภาคม - มิถุนายน 2527) : 34-52.
- จิรศักดิ์ พรหมทอง และพนัส ทัศนียานนท์. "การค้น และยึด : การสะกดรอยผู้ต้องสงสัย
ด้วยเครื่องมืออิเล็กทรอนิกส์", วารสารอัยการ (ปีที่ 3, ธันวาคม 2523) :
58-60.
- จตุติ ธรรมมโนวานิช. "การค้น", วิทยานิพนธ์ปริญญาโทบริหารคดี ภาควิชานิติศาสตร์ บัณฑิต
วิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย, 2532.
- ชูชีพ ปิณฑะสิริ. "การละเมิดสิทธิส่วนตัว" วิทยานิพนธ์ปริญญาโทบริหารคดี, ภาควิชานิติศาสตร์
มหาวิทยาลัยธรรมศาสตร์ 2526.
- เดือน จิตรกร. "การใช้เสียงบันทึกเป็นพยานในคดีอาญา" วารสารดุลพาห (ปีที่ 9
มกราคม, 2505) : 50-59.
- บวรศักดิ์ อูรรดิ. เอกสารประกอบคำบรรยายวิชานิติปรัชญา ชั้นปริญญาโท ครั้งที่ 4
วันจันทร์ที่ 24 พฤศจิกายน 2529, 10.
- บุญชู โรจนเสถียร. ความคิดเห็นทางการเมืองของบุญชู โรจนเสถียร, สำนักพิมพ์โอเมจ,
2525.
- "ปริญญาสาธกกว่าด้วยสิทธิมนุษยชน" เอกสารที่ห้องสมุดคณะนิติศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยธรรมศาสตร์

- ประธาน วัฒนวานิชย์. "ระบบความยุติธรรมทางอาญา : แนวความคิดเกี่ยวกับการควบคุม
อาชญากรรมและกระบวนการยุติธรรม" วารสารนิติศาสตร์ (ปีที่ 9 ฉบับที่ 2, 2520)
: 142-171.
- "ประมวลกฎหมายวิธีพิจารณาความอาญา" ราชกิจจานุเบกษา เล่ม 52 (10 มิถุนายน 2478) :
598.
- หนังสือ ทศนิยานนท์. "สิทธิเสรีภาพส่วนบุคคลของชาวไทย" วารสารนิติศาสตร์ (ปีที่ 11
ฉบับที่ 3, 2523) : 431-452.
- "พระราชบัญญัติโทรเลขและโทรศัพท พ.ศ. 2477" ราชกิจจานุเบกษา เล่ม 51 (28 ตุลาคม
2477) : 768.
- "พระราชบัญญัติไปรษณีย์ พ.ศ. 2477" ราชกิจจานุเบกษา เล่ม 51 (10 กุมภาพันธ์ 2477) :
1226.
- ไพจิตร บุญพันธ์. คำอธิบายประมวลกฎหมายแพ่งและพาณิชย์ลักษณะละเมิด, กรุงเทพ
มหานคร : โรงพิมพ์มหาวิทยาลัยธรรมศาสตร์, 2522.
- "รัฐธรรมนูญแห่งราชอาณาจักรไทย พ.ศ. 2492" ราชกิจจานุเบกษา เล่ม 66 ตอนที่ 17
ฉบับพิเศษ หน้า 1 วันที่ 23 มีนาคม 2492.
- "รัฐธรรมนูญแห่งราชอาณาจักรไทย พ.ศ. 2511" ราชกิจจานุเบกษา เล่ม 85 ตอนพิเศษ
ฉบับพิเศษ วันที่ 20 มิถุนายน 2511.
- "รัฐธรรมนูญแห่งราชอาณาจักรไทย พ.ศ. 2517" ราชกิจจานุเบกษา เล่ม 91 ตอนที่ 169
ฉบับพิเศษ หน้า 1 วันที่ 7 ตุลาคม 2517.
- "รัฐธรรมนูญแห่งราชอาณาจักรไทย พ.ศ. 2521" ราชกิจจานุเบกษา เล่ม 95 ตอนที่ 146
ฉบับพิเศษ วันที่ 22 ธันวาคม 2521.
- วิจิตรา ฟุ้งลดดา. รัฐธรรมนูญและกฎหมายที่เกี่ยวข้อง, กรุงเทพมหานคร : สำนักพิมพ์มหา
วิทยาลัยธรรมศาสตร์ 2524.
- วิษณุ เครืองาม. กฎหมายแองโกล-อเมริกัน, กรุงเทพมหานคร : คณะนิติศาสตร์
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย, 2530.
- _____ . กฎหมายรัฐธรรมนูญ, กรุงเทพมหานคร : สำนักพิมพ์นิติบรรณการ,
2523.

- วิษณุ เครืองาม. กฎหมายรัฐธรรมนูญ, กรุงเทพมหานคร : สำนักพิมพ์นิติบรรณการ,
2530.
- สมชัย รักวิจิตร. ดร.สมชัยตอบคำถามเกี่ยวกับความมั่นคงแห่งชาติ, กรุงเทพมหานครพิมพ์
2521.
- สายหยุด เกิดผล. "ความมั่นคงแห่งชาติ" หนังสืออนุสรณ์ประจำปีของสมาคมจิตวิทยา
ความมั่นคงแห่งประเทศไทย.
- สุโขทัยธรรมมาธิราช มหาวิทยาลัย สาขาวิชานิติศาสตร์, กฎหมายกับการเปลี่ยนแปลงของสังคม
กรุงเทพ, 2527.
- สุนิศ ปราณีตผลกรัง. "การคุ้มครองสิทธิ-เสรีภาพผู้ต้องหาโดยองค์การตุลาการก่อนขึ้น
พิจารณา : ศึกษาเปรียบเทียบไทยกับสหรัฐอเมริกา" วิทยานิพนธ์ปริญญาโทมหาบัณฑิต
ภาควิชานิติศาสตร์ บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย, 2528.
- สุเมธ ลิขิตชนานันท์. "เหตุในการจับกุม" วิทยานิพนธ์ปริญญาโทมหาบัณฑิต, ภาควิชา
นิติศาสตร์ บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย, 2529.
- สุรินทร์ ถั่วทอง. "การจับ หมายจับ และเสรีภาพในร่างกายของบุคคล" วารสารอัยการ
(ปีที่ 5 มกราคม, 2525) : 36-57.
- หนังสือพิมพ์เดลินิวส์ ประจำวันอังคารที่ 6 กันยายน 2531.
- หนังสือพิมพ์ไทยรัฐ ประจำวันพฤหัสบดีที่ 15 กันยายน 2531.
- อภิชาติ ชีร์ธำรง. "ความมั่นคงของชาติ" หนังสืออนุสรณ์ประจำปีของสมาคมจิตวิทยาความ
มั่นคงแห่งประเทศไทย.
- อภิรัตน์ เพ็ชรศิริ และคณะ. สิทธิมนุษยชนของผู้ต้องหาในประเทศไทย, กรุงเทพมหานคร :
เจริญวิทย์การพิมพ์, 2529.

ภาษาต่างประเทศ

Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth edition St. Paul Minn: West Publishing Co., 1979.

Cederbaums Juris. "Wiretapping and Electronic Eavesdropping : The Law and Its Implications : A Comparative Study". Monograph Series - Volume 2, Criminal Law Education and Research Center New York University School of Law, New York, 1969.

Corwin's, Edward S., The Constitution And What It Means Today. (New Jersey : Priceton University Press, 1973X

Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure With Amendment to May 15, 1983 (St. Paul, Minn : West Publishing Co, 1983)

Felkenes, George T. Criminal Law and Procedure : Text and Cases. New Jersey Prentice - Hall, Inc, 1976.

Haddad, James B. and others. Cases and Comments on Criminal Procedure. 3 rd ed. New York : The Foundation Press Inc., 1987.

Kamisar, Yale La Fave, Wayne R. and Israel. Jerold H. Basic Criminal Procedure, Cases, Comments And Questions. 5 th ed. St. Paul, Minn : West Publishing Co., 1980.

_____ . Modern Criminal Procedure; cases, comments and questions. 6 th ed. St. Paul Minn., West Publishing, 1986.

Israel, Jerold H. and La Fave, Wayne R. Criminal Procedure In a Nutshell Constitutional Limitations. 4 th ed. St. Paul, Minn : West Publishing Co., 1988.

Moenssens, Andre A., Singer, Shelvin and Bacigal Ronald. Criminal Procedure Cases and Comments. 2 nd ed. Virginia : The Michie Company Law Publishers, 1987.

Paul A. Freund and others. Constitutional Law Cases and Other Problems. Boston and Toronto : Little, Brown and Company, 1977.

Shattuck, John H.F. Rights of Privacy (To protect these rights) Skokie, Ill : National Textbook, 1977.

Smith, Edward Conrad. The Constitution of United States with Case Summaries. Eleventh Edition. New York : Barnes & Noble Books.

The Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, 18 U.S.C.A. Section 2510-2520.

Weinreb, Lloyd L. Leading Constitutional Cases on Criminal Justice. New York : The Foundation Press, Inc, 1981.

ภาคผนวก

THE OMNIBUS CRIME CONTROL AND SAFE STREETS ACT OF 1968¹

- Sec.
2510. Definitions.
2511. Interception and disclosure of wire, oral or electronic -
communications prohibited.
2512. Manufacture, distribution, possession, and advertising of
wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepting
devices prohibited.
2513. Confiscation of wire, oral, or electronic communication
intercepting devices.
2515. Prohibition of use as evidence of intercepted wire or oral
communications.
2516. Authorization for interception of wire, oral or electronic
communications.
2517. Authorization for disclosure and use of intercepted wire,
oral, or electronic communications.
2518. Procedure for interception of wire, oral or electronic
communications.
2519. Reports concerning intercepted wire, oral, or electronic
communications.
2520. Recovery of civil damages authorized.

¹. 18 U.S.C.A. CHAPTER 119 - WIRE AND ELECTRONIC
COMMUNICATIONS INTERCEPTION AND INTERCEPTION OF ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Sec. 2510. Definitions

As used in this chapter-

(1) "wire communication" means any aural transfer made in whole or in part through the use of facilities for the transmission of communications by the aid of wire, cable, or other like connection between the point of origin and the point of reception (including the use of such connection in a switching station) furnished or operated by any person engaged in providing or operating such facilities for the transmission of interstate or foreign communications for communications affecting interstate or foreign commerce and such term includes any electronic storage of such communication, but such term does not include the radio portion of a cordless telephone communication that is transmitted between the cordless telephone handset and the base unit;

(2) "oral communication" means any oral communication uttered by a person exhibiting an expectation that such communication is not subject to interception under circumstances justifying such expectation, but such term does not include any electronic communication;

(3) "State" means any State of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and any territory or possession of the United States;

(4) "intercept" means the aural or other acquisition of the contents of any wire, electronic, or oral communication through the use of any electronic, mechanical, or other device;

(5) "electronic, mechanical, or other device" means any device or apparatus which can be used to intercept a wire, oral, or electronic communication other than-

(a) any telephone or telegraph instrument, equipment or facility, or any component thereof, (i) furnished to the subscriber or user by a provider of wire or electronic communication service in the ordinary course of its business and being used by the subscriber or user in the ordinary course of its business or furnished by such subscriber or user for connection to the facilities of such service and used in the ordinary course of its business; or (ii) being used by a provider of wire or electronic communication service in the ordinary course of its business, or by an investigative or law enforcement officer in the ordinary course of his duties;

(b) a hearing aid or similar device being used to correct subnormal hearing to not better than normal;

(6) "person" means any employee, or agent of the United States or any State or political subdivision thereof, and any individual, partnership, association, joint stock company, trust, or corporation;

(7) "Investigative or law enforcement officer" means any officer of the United States or of a State or Political subdivision thereof, who is empowered by law to conduct investigations of or to make arrests for offenses enumerated in this chapter, and any attorney authorized by law to prosecute or participate in the prosecution of such offenses;

(8) "contents", when used with respect to any wire, oral, or electronic communication, includes any information concerning the substance, purport, or meaning of that communication;

(9) "Judge of competent jurisdiction" means-

(a) a judge of a United States district court or a United States court of appeals; and

(b) a judge of any court of general criminal jurisdiction of a State who is authorized by a statute of that State to enter orders authorizing interceptions of wire, oral, or electronic communications;

(10) "communication common carrier" shall have the same meaning which is given the term "common carrier" by section 153(h) of title 47 of the United States Code;

(11) "aggrieved person" means a person who was a party to any intercepted wire, oral, or electronic communication or a person against whom the interception was directed:

(12) "electronic communication" means any transfer of signs, signals, writing, images, sounds, data, or intelligence of any nature transmitted in whole or in part by a wire, radio, electromagnetic, photoelectronic or photooptical system that affects interstate or foreign commerce, but does not include-

(A) the radio portion of a cordless telephone communication that is transmitted between the cordless telephone handset and the base unit;

(B) any wire or oral communication;

(C) any communication made through a tone-only

paging device; or

(D) any communication from a tracking device (as defined in section 3117 of this title);

(13) "user" means any person or entity who-

(A) uses an electronic communication service; and

(B) is duly authorized by the provider of such service to engage in such use;

(14) "electronic communications system" means any wire, radio, electromagnetic, photooptical or photoelectronic facilities for the transmission of electronic communications, and any computer facilities or related electronic equipment for the electronic storage of such communication;

(15) "electronic communication service" means any service which provides to users thereof the ability to send or receive wire or electronic communications;

(16) "readily accessible to the general public" means, with respect to a radio communication, that such communication is not-

(A) scrambled or encrypted;

(B) transmitted using modulation techniques whose essential parameters have been withheld from the public with the intention of preserving the privacy of such communication;

(C) carried on a subcarrier or other signal subsidiary to a radio transmission;

(D) transmitted over a communication system provided by a common carrier, unless the communication is a

tone only paging system communication; or

(E) transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25, subpart D,E, or F of part 74, or part 94 of the Rules of the Federal Communications Commission, unless, in the case of a communication transmitted on a frequency allocated under part 74 that is not exclusively allocated to broadcast auxiliary services, the communication is a two-way voice communication by radio;

(17) "electronic storage" means-

(A) any temporary intermediate storage of a wire or electronic communication incidental to the electronic transmission thereof; and

(B) any storage of such communication by an electronic communication service for purposes of backup protection of such communication; and

(18) "aural transfer" means a transfer containing the human voice at any point between and including the point of origin and the point of reception.

Sec. 2511. Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or electronic communications prohibited

(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any person who-

(a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any other person to intercept or endeavor to intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;

(b) intentionally uses, endeavors to use, or procures any other person to use or endeavor to use any electronic, mechanical, or other device to intercept any oral communication when-

(i) such device is affixed to, or otherwise transmits a signal through, a wire cable, or other like connection used in wire communication; or

(ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or interferes with the transmission of such communication; or

(iii) such person knows, or has reason to know, that such device or any component thereof has been sent through the mail or transported in interstate or foreign commerce; or

(iv) such use or endeavor to use (A) takes place on the premises of any business or other commercial establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or (B) obtains or is for the purpose of obtaining information relating to the operations of any business or other commercial establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or

(v) such person acts in the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or any territory or possession of the United States;

(c) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the

interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subsection; or

(d) intentionally uses, or endeavors to use, the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subsection;

shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject to suit as provided in subsection (5).

(2) (a) (i) It shall not be unlawful under this chapter for an operator of a switchboard, or an officer, employee, or agent of a provider of wire or electronic communication service, whose facilities are used in the transmission of a wire communication, to intercept, disclose, or use that communication in the normal course of his employment while engaged in any activity which is a necessary incident to the rendition of his service or to the protection of the right or property of the provider of that service, except that a provider of wire communication service to the public shall not utilize service observing or random monitoring except for mechanical or service quality control checks.

(ii) Notwithstanding any other law, providers of wire or electronic communication service, their officers, employees, and agents, landlords, custodians, or other persons, are authorized to provide information, facilities, or technical assistance to persons authorized by law to

intercept wire, oral, or electronic communications or to conduct electronic surveillance, as defined in section 101 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978, if such provider, its officers, employees, or agents, landlord, custodian, or other specified person, has been provided with-

(A) a court order directing such assistance signed by the authorizing judge, or

(B) a certification in writing by a person specified in section 2518(7) of this title or the attorney General of the United States that no warrant or court order is required by law, that all Statutory requirements have been met, and that the specified assistance is required, setting forth the period of time during which the provision of the information, facilities, or technical assistance is authorized and specifying the information, facilities, or technical assistance required. No provider of wire or electronic communication service, officer, employee, or agent thereof, or landlord, custodian, or other specified person shall disclose the existence of any interception or surveillance or the device used to accomplish the interception or surveillance with respect to which the person has been furnished a court order or certification under this chapter, except as may otherwise be required by legal process and then only after prior notification to the Attorney General or to the principal prosecuting attorney of a State or any political subdivision of a State, as may

be appropriate. Any such disclosure, shall render such person liable for the civil damages provided for in section 2520. No cause of action shall lie in any court against any provider of wire or electronic communication service, its officers, employees, or agents, landlord, custodian, or other specified person for providing information, facilities, or assistance in accordance with the terms of a court order or certification under this chapter.

(b) It shall not be unlawful under this chapter for an officer, employee, or agent of the Federal Communications Commission, in the normal course of his employment and in discharge of the monitoring responsibilities exercised by the Commission in the enforcement of chapter 5 of title 47 of the United States Code, to intercept a wire or electronic communication, or oral communication transmitted by radio, or to disclose or use the information thereby obtained.

(c) It shall not be unlawful under this chapter for a person acting under color of law to intercept a wire, oral, or electronic communication, where such person is a party to the communication or one of the parties to the communication has given prior consent to such interception.

(d) It shall not be unlawful under this chapter for a person not acting under color of law to intercept a wire, oral, or electronic communication where such person is a party to the communication or where one of the parties to the communication has given prior consent to such interception unless such communication is intercepted for

the purpose of committing any criminal or tortious act in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States or of any State.

(e) Notwithstanding any other provision of this title or section 705 or 706 of the Communications Act of 1934, it shall not be unlawful for an officer, employee, or agent of the United States in the normal course of his official duty to conduct electronic surveillance, as defined in section 101 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978, as authorized by that Act.

(f) Nothing contained in this chapter or chapter 121, or section 705 of the Communications Act of 1934, shall be deemed to affect the acquisition by the United States Government of foreign intelligence information from international or foreign communications, or foreign intelligence activities conducted in accordance with otherwise applicable Federal law involving a foreign electronic communications system, utilizing a means other than electronic surveillance as defined in section 101 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978, and procedures in this chapter and the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 shall be the exclusive means by which electronic surveillance, as defined in section 101 of such Act, and the interception of domestic wire and oral communications may be conducted.

(g) It shall not be unlawful under this chapter or chapter 121 of this title for any person-

(i) to intercept or access an electronic communication made through an electronic communication system that is configured so that such electronic communication is readily accessible to the general public;

(ii) to intercept any radio communication which is transmitted-

(I) by any station for the use of the general public, or that relates to ships, aircraft, vehicles, or persons in distress;

(II) by any governmental, law enforcement, civil defense, private land mobile, or public safety communications system, including police and fire, readily accessible to the general public;

(III) by a station operating on an authorized frequency within the bands allocated to the amateur, citizens band, or general mobile radio services; or

(IV) by any marine or aeronautical communications system;

(iii) to engage in any conduct which-

(I) is prohibited by section 633 of the Communications Act of 1934; or

(II) is excepted from the application of section 705(a) of the Communications Act of 1934 by section 705(b) of that Act;

(iv) to intercept any wire or electronic communication the transmission of which is causing harmful interference to any lawfully operating station or consumer electronic equipment, to the extent necessary to identify

the source of such interference; or

(v) for other users of the same frequency to intercept any radio communication made through a system that utilizes frequencies monitored by individuals engaged in the provision or the use of such system, if such communication is not scrambled or encrypted.

(h) It shall not be unlawful under this chapter-

(i) to use a pen register or a trap and trace device (as those terms are defined for the purposes of chapter 206 (relating to pen registers and trap and trace devices) of this title; or

(ii) for a provider of electronic communication service to record the fact that a wire or electronic communication was initiated or completed in order to protect such provider, another provider furnishing service toward the completion of the wire or electronic communication, or a user of that service, from fraudulent, unlawful or abusive use of such service.

(3)(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this subsection, a person or entity providing an electronic communication service to the public shall not intentionally divulge the contents of any communication (other than one to such person or entity, or an agent thereof) while in transmission on that service to any person or entity other than an addressee or intended recipient of such communication or an agent of such addressee or intended recipient.

(b) A person or entity providing electronic

communication service to the public may divulge the contents of any such communication-

(i) as otherwise authorized in section 2511(2)(a) or 2517 of this title

(ii) with the lawful consent of the originator or any addressee or intended recipient of such communication;

(iii) to a person employed or authorized, or whose facilities are used, to forward such communication to its destination; or

(iv) which were inadvertently obtained by the service provider and which appear to pertain to the commission of a crime, if such divulgence is made to a law enforcement agency.

(4)(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this subsection or in subsection (5), whoever violates subsection (1) of this section shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

(b) If the offense is a first offense under paragraph (a) of this subsection and is not for a tortious or illegal purpose or for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain, and the wire or electronic communication with respect to which the offense under paragraph (a) is a radio communication that is not scrambled or encrypted, then-

(i) if the communication is not the radio portion of a cellular telephone communication, a public land mobile radio service communication or a paging service communication, and the conduct is not that described in

subsection (5), the offender shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and

(ii) if the communication is the radio portion of a cellular telephone communication, a public land mobile radio service communication or a paging service communication, the offender shall be fined not more than \$500

(c) Conduct otherwise an offense under this subsection that consists of or relates to the interception of a satellite transmission that is not encrypted or scrambled and that is transmitted-

(i) to a broadcasting station for purposes of retransmission to the general public; or

(ii) as an audio subcarrier intended for redistribution to facilities open to the public, but not including data transmissions or telephone calls, is not an offense under this subsection unless the conduct is for the purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain.

(5)(a)(i) If the communication is-

(A) a private satellite video communication that is not scrambled or encrypted and the conduct in violation of this chapter is the private viewing of that communication and is not for a tortious or illegal purpose or for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain; or

(B) a radio communication that is transmitted on frequencies allocated under subpart D of part 74 of the

rules of the Federal Communications Commission that is not scrambled or encrypted and the conduct in violation of this chapter is not for a tortious or illegal purpose or for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain,

then the person who engages in such conduct shall be subject to suit by the Federal Government in a court of competent jurisdiction.

(ii) In an action under this subsection-

(A) if the violation of this chapter is a first offense for the person under paragraph (a) of subsection (4) and such person has not been found liable in a civil action under section 2520 of this title, the Federal Government shall be entitled to appropriate injunctive relief; and

(B) if the violation of this chapter is a second or subsequent offense under paragraph (a) of subsection (4) or such person has been found liable in any prior civil action under section 2520, the person shall be subject to a mandatory \$500 civil fine.

(b) The court may use any means within its authority to enforce an injunction issued under paragraph (ii) (A), and shall impose a civil fine of not less than \$500 for each violation of such an injunction.

Sec. 2512 Manufacture, distribution, possession, and advertising
 of wire, oral, or electronic communication
 intercepting devices prohibited

(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter, any person who intentionally-

(a) sends through the mail, or sends or carries in interstate or foreign commerce, any electronic, mechanical, or other device, knowing or having reason to know that the design of such device renders it primarily useful for the purpose of the surreptitious interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications;

(b) manufactures, assembles, possesses, or sells any electronic, mechanical, or other device, knowing or having reason to know that the design of such device renders it primarily useful for the purpose of the surreptitious interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications, and that such device or any component thereof has been or will be sent through the mail or transported in interstate or foreign commerce; or

(c) places in any newspaper, magazine, handbill, or other publication any advertisement of-

(i) any electronic mechanical, or other device knowing or having reason to know that the design of such device renders it primarily useful for the purpose of the surreptitious interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications; or

(ii) any other electronic, mechanical, or other device, where such advertisement promotes the use of such device for the purpose of the surreptitious interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications, knowing or having reason to know that such advertisement

will be sent through the mail or transported in interstate or foreign commerce, shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

(2) It shall not be unlawful under this section for-

(a) a provider of wire or electronic communication service or an officer, agent, or employee of, or a person under contract with, such a provider, in the normal course of the business of providing that wire or electronic communication service, or

(b) an officer, agent, or employee of, or a person under contract with, the United States, a State, or a political subdivision thereof, in the normal course of the activities of the United States, a State, or a political subdivision thereof, to send through the mail, send or carry in interstate or foreign commerce, or manufacture, assemble, possess, or sell any electronic, mechanical, or other device knowing or having reason to know that the design of such device renders it primarily useful for the purpose of the surreptitious interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications.

Sec. 2513. Confiscation of wire or oral communication intercepting devices

Any electronic, mechanical, other device used, sent, carried, manufactured, assembled, possessed, sold, or advertised in violation of section 2511 or section 2512 of this chapter may be seized and forfeited to the United States. All provisions of law relating to (1) the seizure,

summary and judicial forfeiture, and condemnation of vessels, vehicles, merchandise, and baggage for violations of the customs laws contained in title 19 of the United States Code, (2) the disposition of such vessels, vehicles, merchandise, and baggage or the proceeds from the sale thereof, (3) the remission or mitigation of such forfeiture, (4) the compromise of claims, and (5) the award of compensation to informer in respect of such forfeitures, shall apply to seizures and forfeitures incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, under the provisions of this section, insofar as applicable and not inconsistent with the provisions of this section; except that such duties as are imposed upon the collector of customs or any other person with respect to the seizure and forfeiture of vessels, vehicles, merchandise, and baggage under the provisions of the customs laws contained in title 19 of the United States Code shall be performed with respect to seizure and forfeiture of electronic, mechanical, or other intercepting devices under this section by such officers, agents, or other persons as may be authorized or designated for that purpose by the Attorney General.

Sec. 2514. Immunity of witnesses (Repealed.)

Sec. 2515. Prohibition of use as evidence of intercepted wire of oral communications

Whenever any wire or oral communication has been

intercepted, no part of the contents of such communication and no evidence derived therefrom may be received in evidence in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding in or before any court, grand jury, department, officer, agency, regulatory body, legislative committee, or other authority of the United States, a State, or a political subdivision thereof if the disclosure of that information would be in violation of this chapter.

Sec. 2516. Authorization for interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications

(1) The Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General, Associate Attorney General, or any Assistant Attorney General any acting Assistant Attorney General, or any Deputy Assistant Attorney General in the Criminal Division specially designated by the Attorney General, may authorize an application to a Federal judge of competent jurisdiction for, and such judge may grant in conformity with section 2518 of this chapter an order authorizing or approving the interception of wire or oral communications by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, or a Federal agency having responsibility for the investigation of the offense as to which the application is made, when such interception may provide or has provided evidence of-

(a) any offense punishable by death or by imprisonment for more than one year under sections 2274 through 2277 of title 42 of the United States Code (relating to the enforcement of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954), section 2284 of title 42 of the United States Code

(relating to sabotage of nuclear facilities or fuel), or under the following chapters of this title: chapter 37 (relating to espionage), chapter 105 (relating to sabotage), chapter 115 (relating to treason), chapter 102 (relating to riots) chapter 65 (relating to malicious mischief), chapter 111 (relating to destruction of vessels), or chapter 81 (relating to piracy);

(b) a violation of section 186 or section 501 (c) of title 29, United States Code (dealing with restrictions on payments and loans to labor organizations), or any offense which involves murder, kidnapping, robbery, or extortion, and which is punishable under this title;

(c) any offense which is punishable under the following sections of this title: section 201 (bribery of public officials and witnesses), section 224 (bribery in sporting contests), subsection (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), or (i) of section 844 (unlawful use of explosives), section 1084 (transmission of wagering information, section 751 (relating to escape), sections 1503, 1512, and 1513 (influencing or injuring an officer, juror, or witness generally), section 1510 (obstruction of criminal investigations), section 1511 (obstruction of State or local law enforcement), section 1751 (Presidential and Presidential staff assassination, kidnaping, and assault), section 1951 (interference with commerce by threats or violence), section 1952 (interstate and foreign travel of transportation in aid of racketeering enterprises), section 1958 (relating to use of interstate commerce facilities in

the commission of murder for hire), section 1959 (relating to violent crimes in aid of racketeering activity), section 1954 (offer, acceptance, or solicitation to influence operations of employee benefit plan), section 1955 (prohibition of business enterprises of gambling), section 1956 (laundering of monetary instruments), section 1957 (relating to engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity)," section 659 (theft from interstate shipment), section 664 (embezzlement from pension and welfare funds), section 1343 (fraud by wire, radio, or television), section 2251 and 2252 (sexual exploitation of children), sections 2312, 2313, 2314, and 2315 (interstate transportation of stolen property), section 2321 (relating to trafficking in certain motor vehicles or motor vehicle parts, section 1203 (relating to hostage taking), section 1029 (relating to fraud and related activity in connection with access devices), section 3146 (relating to penalty for failure to appear), section 3521 (b)(3) (relating to witness relocation and assistance), section 32 (relating to destruction of aircraft or aircraft facilities), section 1963 (violations with respect to racketeer influenced and corrupt organizations), section 115 (relating to threatening or retaliating against a Federal official), the section in chapter 65 relating to destruction of an energy facility, and section 1341 (relating to mail fraud), section 351 (violations) with respect to congressional, Cabinet, or Supreme Court assassinations, kidnaping, and assault),

section 831 (relating to prohibited transactions involving nuclear materials), section 33 (relating to destruction of motor vehicles or motor vehicle facilities), or section 1992 (relating to wrecking trains;

(d) any offense involving counterfeiting punishable under section 471, 472, or 473 of this title;

(e) any offense involving fraud connected with a case under title 11 or the manufacture, importation, receiving, concealment, buying, selling, or otherwise dealing in narcotic drugs, marihuana, or other dangerous drugs, punishable under any law of the United States;

(f) any offense including extortionate credit transactions under sections 892, 893, or 894 of this title;

(g) a violation of section 5322 of title 31, United States Code (dealing with the reporting of currency transactions);

(h) any felony violation of sections 2511 and 2512 (relating to interception and disclosure of certain communications and to certain intercepting devices) of this title;

(i) any felony violation of chapter 71 (relating to obscenity) of this title;

(j) any violation of section 1679 a(c)(2) (relating to destruction of a natural gas pipeline) or subsection (i) or (n) of section 1472 (relating to aircraft piracy) of title 49, of the United States Code;

(k) any criminal violation of section 2778 of title 22 (relating to the Arms Export Control Act);

(1) the location of any fugitive from justice from an offense described in this section; or

(m) any conspiracy to commit any of the foregoing offenses.

(m) any felony violation of sections 922 and 924 of title 18, United States Code (relating to firearms); and

(n) any violation of section 5861 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1936 (relating to firearms).

(2) The principal prosecuting attorney of any State, or the principal prosecuting attorney of any political subdivision thereof, if such attorney is authorized by a statute of that State to make application to a State court judge of competent jurisdiction for an order authorizing, or approving the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications, may apply to such judge for, and such judge may grant in conformity with section 2518 of this chapter and with the applicable State statute an order authorizing, or approving the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications by investigative or law enforcement officers having responsibility for the investigation of the offense as to which the application is made, when such interception may provide or has provided evidence of the commission of the offense of murder, kidnapping, gambling, robbery, bribery, extortion, or dealing in narcotic drugs, marihuana or other dangerous drugs, or other crime dangerous to life, limb, or property, and punishable by imprisonment for more than one year, designated in any applicable State statute authorizing such interception, or any conspiracy to commit

any of the foregoing offenses.

(3) Any attorney for the Government (as such term is defined for the purposes of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure) may authorize an application to a federal judge of competent jurisdiction for, and such judge may grant, in conformity with section 2518 of this title, an order authorizing or approving the interception of electronic communications by an investigative or law enforcement officer having responsibility for the investigation of the offense as to which the application is made, when such interception may provide or has provided evidence of any Federal felony.

Sec. 2517. Authorization for disclosure and use of intercepted wire, oral, or electronic communications

(1) Any investigative or law enforcement officer who, by any means authorized by this chapter, has obtained knowledge of the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, or evidence derived therefrom, may disclose such contents to another investigative or law enforcement officer to the extent that such disclosure is appropriate to the proper performance of the official duties of the officer making or receiving the disclosure.

(2) Any investigative or law enforcement officer who, by any means authorized by this chapter, has obtained knowledge of the contents of any wire, oral or electronic communication or evidence derived therefrom may use such contents to the extent such use is appropriate to the

proper performance of his official duties.

(3) Any person who has received, by any means authorized by this chapter, any information concerning a wire, oral, or electronic communication, or evidence derived therefrom intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter may disclose the contents of that communication or such derivative evidence while giving testimony under oath or affirmation in any proceeding held under the authority of the United States or of any State or political subdivision thereof.

(4) No otherwise privileged wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepted in accordance with, or in violation of, the provisions of this chapter shall lose its privileged character.

(5) When an investigative or law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire, oral or electronic communications in the manner authorized herein, intercepts wire, oral, or electronic communications relating to offenses other than those specified in the order of authorization or approval, the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may be disclosed or used as provided in subsections (1) and (2) of this section. Such contents and any evidence derived therefrom may be used under subsection (3) of this section when authorized or approved by a judge of competent jurisdiction where such judge finds on subsequent application that the contents were otherwise intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter. Such application shall be made

as soon as practicable.

Sec. 2518 Procedure for interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications

(1) Each application for an order authorizing or approving the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication under this chapter shall be made in writing upon oath or affirmation to a judge of competent jurisdiction and shall state the applicant's authority to make such application. Each application shall include the following information:

(a) the identity of the investigative or law enforcement officer making the application, and the officer authorizing the application;

(b) a full and complete statement of the facts and circumstances relied upon by the applicant, to justify his belief that an order should be issued, including (i) details as to the particular offense that has been, is being, or is about to be committed, (ii) except as provided in subsection (11), a particular description of the nature and location of the facilities from which or the place where the communication is to be intercepted, (iii) a particular description of the type of communications sought to be intercepted, (iv) the identity of the person, if known, committing the offense and whose communications are to be intercepted;

(c) a full and complete statement as to whether or

not other investigative procedures have been tried and failed or why they reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if tried or to be too dangerous;

(d) a statement of the period of time for which the interception is required to be maintained. If the nature of the investigation is such that the authorization for interception should not automatically terminate when the described type of communication has been first obtained, a particular description of facts establishing probable cause to believe that additional communications of the same type will occur thereafter;

(e) a full and complete statement of the facts concerning all previous applications known to the individual authorizing and making the application, made to any judge for authorization to intercept, or for approval of interceptions of, wire, oral, or electronic communications involving any of the same persons, facilities or places specified in the application, and the action taken by the judge on each such application; and

(f) where the application is for the extension of an order a statement setting forth the results thus far obtained from the interception, or a reasonable explanation of the failure to obtain such results.

(2) The judge may require the applicant to furnish additional testimony or documentary evidence in support of the application.

(3) Upon such application the judge may enter an ex parte order, as requested or as modified, authorizing or approving

interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications within the territorial jurisdiction of the court in which the judge is sitting (and outside that jurisdiction but within the United States in the case of a mobile interception device authorized by a Federal court within such jurisdiction), if the judge determines on the basis of the facts submitted by the applicant that-

(a) there is probable cause for belief that an individual is committing, has committed, or is about to commit a particular offense enumerated in section 2516 of this chapter;

(b) there is probable cause for belief that particular communications concerning that offense will be obtained through such interception;

(c) normal investigative procedures have been tried and have failed or reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if tried or to be too dangerous;

(d) except as provided in subsection (11), there is probable cause for belief that the facilities from which, or the place where, the wire, oral, or electronic communications are to be intercepted are being used, or are about to be used, in connection with the commission of such offense, or are leased to, listed in the name of, or commonly used by such person.

(4) Each order authorizing or approving the interception of any wire, oral, or electronic communication under this chapter shall specify-

(a) the identity of the person, if known, whose

communications are to be intercepted;

(b) the nature and location of the communications facilities as to which, or the place where, authority to intercept is granted;

(c) a particular description of the type of communication sought to be intercepted, and a statements of the particular offense to which it relates;

(d) the identity of the agency authorized to intercept the communications, and of the person authorizing the application; and

(e) the period of time during which such interception is authorized, including a statement as to whether or not the interception shall automatically terminate when the described communication has been first obtained.

An order authorizing the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication under this chapter shall, upon request of the applicant, direct that a provider of wire or electronic communication service, landlord, custodian or other person shall furnish the applicant forthwith all information, facilities, and technical assistance necessary to accomplish the interception unobtrusively and with a minimum of interference with the services that such service provider, landlord, custodian, or person is according the person whose communications are to be intercepted. Any provider of wire or electronic communication service, landlord, custodian or other person furnishing such facilities or technical assistance shall be compensated therefor by the applicant for reasonable expenses incurred in

providing such facilities or assistance.

(5) No order entered under this section may authorize or approve the interception of any wire, oral, or electronic communication for any period longer than is necessary to achieve the objective of the authorization, nor in any event longer than thirty days. Such thirty-day period begins on the earlier of the day on which the investigative or law enforcement officer first begins to conduct an interception under the order or ten days after the order is entered. Extensions of an order may be granted, but only upon application for an extension made in accordance with subsection (1) of this section and the court making the findings required by subsection (3) of this section. The period of extension shall be no longer than the authorizing judge deems necessary to achieve the purposes for which it was granted and in no event for longer than thirty days. Every order and extension thereof shall contain a provision that the authorization to intercept shall be executed as soon as practicable, shall be conducted in such a way as to minimize the interception of communications not otherwise subject to interception under this chapter, and must terminate upon attainment of the authorized objective, or in any event in thirty days. In the event the intercepted communication is in a code or foreign language, and an expert in that foreign language or code is not reasonably available during the interception period, minimization may be accomplished as soon as practicable after such interception. An interception under this chapter may be conducted in whole

or in part by Government personnel, or by an individual operating under a contract with the Government, acting under the supervision of an investigative or law enforcement officer authorized to conduct the interception.

(6) Whenever an order authorizing interception is entered pursuant to this chapter, the order may require reports to be made to the judge who issued the order showing what progress has been made toward achievement of the authorized objective and the need for continued interception. Such reports shall be made at such intervals as the judge may require.

(7) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, any investigative or law enforcement officer, specially designated by the Attorney General, the Deputy attorney General, the Associate Attorney General or by the principal prosecuting attorney of any State or subdivision thereof acting pursuant to a statute of that State, who reasonably determines that-

(a) an emergency situation exists that involves-

(i) immediate danger of death or serious physical injury to any person,

(ii) conspiratorial activities threatening the national security interest, or

(iii) conspiratorial activities characteristic of organized crime, that requires a wire, oral, or electronic communication to be intercepted before an order authorizing such interception can, with due diligence, be obtained, and

(b) there are grounds upon which an order could be

entered under this chapter to authorize such interception, may intercept such wire, oral, or electronic communication if an application for an order approving the interception is made in accordance with this section within forty-eight hours after the interception has occurred, or begins to occur. In the absence of an order, such interception shall immediately terminate when the communication sought is obtained or when the application for approval is denied, or in any other case where the interception is terminated without an order having been issued, the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepted shall be treated as having been obtained in violation of this chapter, and an inventory shall be served as provided for in subsection (d) of this section on the person named in the application.

(8)(a) The contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepted by any means authorized by this chapter shall, if possible, be recorded on tape or wire or other comparable device. The recording of the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication under this subsection shall be done in such way as will protect the recording from editing or other alterations. Immediately upon the expiration of the period of the order, or extensions thereof, such recordings shall be made available to the judge issuing such order and sealed under his directions. Custody of the recordings shall be wherever the judge orders. They shall not be destroyed except upon an order of the issuing or denying judge and in any event shall be kept for ten years. Duplicate recordings may be made for use or disclosure

pursuant to the provisions of subsections (1) and (2) of section 2517 of this chapter for investigations. The presence of the seal provided for by this subsection, or a satisfactory explanation for the absence thereof, shall be a prerequisite for the use or disclosure of the contents of any wire, oral or electronic communication or evidence derived therefrom under subsection(3) of section 2517.

(b) Applications made and orders granted under this chapter shall be sealed by the judge. Custody of the applications and orders shall be wherever the judge directs. Such applications and orders shall be disclosed only upon a showing of good cause before a judge of competent jurisdiction and shall not be destroyed except on order of the issuing or denying judge, and in any event shall be kept for ten years.

(c) Any violation of the provisions of this subsection may be punished as contempt of the issuing or denying judge.

(d) Within a reasonable time but not later than ninety days after the filing of an application for an order of approval under section 2518(7)(b) which is denied or the termination of the period of an order or extensions thereof, the issuing or denying judge shall cause to be served, on the persons named in the order or the application, and such other parties to intercepted communications as the judge may determine in his discretion that is in the interest of justice, an inventory which shall include notice of-

(1) the fact of the entry of the order or the application;

(2) the date of the entry and the period of authorized, approved or disapproved interception, or the denial of the application; and

(3) the fact that during the period wire, oral, or electronic communications were or were not intercepted.

The judge, upon the filing of a motion, may in his discretion make available to such person or his counsel for inspection such portions of the intercepted communications, applications and orders as the judge determines to be in the interest of justice. On an ex parte showing of good cause to a judge of competent jurisdiction the serving of the inventory required by this subsection may be postponed.

(9) The contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepted pursuant to this chapter or evidence derived therefrom shall not be received in evidence or otherwise disclosed in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding in a Federal or State court unless each party, not less than ten days before the trial, hearing, or proceeding, has been furnished with a copy of the court order, and accompanying application, under which the interception was authorized or approved. This ten-day period may be waived by the judge if he finds that it was not possible to furnish the party with the above information ten days before the trial, hearing, or proceeding and that the party will not be prejudiced by the delay in receiving such information.

(10)(a) Any aggrieved person in any trial, hearing, or proceeding in or before any court, department, officer, agency, regulatory body, or other authority of the United

States, a State, or a political subdivision thereof, may move to suppress the contents of any wire or oral communication intercepted pursuant to this chapter, or evidence derived therefrom, on the grounds that-

(i) the communication was unlawfully intercepted;

(ii) the order of authorization or approval under which it was intercepted is insufficient on its face; or

(iii) the interception was not made in conformity with the order of authorization or approval.

Such motion shall be made before the trial, hearing, or proceeding unless there was no opportunity to make such motion or the person was not aware of the grounds of the motion. If the motion is granted, the contents of the intercepted wire or oral communication, or evidence derived therefrom, shall be treated as having been obtained in violation of this chapter. The judge, upon the filing of such motion by the aggrieved person, may in his discretion make available to the aggrieved person or his counsel for inspection such portions of the intercepted communication or evidence derived therefrom as the judge determines to be in the interests of justice.

(b) In addition to any other right to appeal, the United States shall have the right to appeal from an order granting a motion to suppress made under paragraph (a) of this subsection, or the denial of an application for an order of approval, if the United States attorney shall certify to the judge or other official granting such motion or denying such application that the appeal is not taken for purposes of

delay. Such appeal shall be taken within thirty days after the date the order was entered and shall be diligently prosecuted.

(c) The remedies and sanctions described in this chapter with respect to the interception of electronic communications are the only judicial remedies and sanctions for nonconstitutional violations of this chapter involving such communications.

(11) The requirements of subsections (1)(b)(ii) and (3)(d) of this section relating to the specification of the facilities from which, or the place where, the communication is to be intercepted do not apply if-

(a) in the case of an application with respect to the interception of an oral communication-

(i) the application is by a Federal investigating or law enforcement officer and is approved by the Attorney General, the Deputy Attorney General, the Associate Attorney General, an Assistant Attorney General, or an acting Assistant Attorney General;

(ii) the application contains a full and complete statement as to why such specification is not practical and identifies the person committing the offense and whose communications are to be intercepted; and

(iii) the judge finds that such specification is not practical; and

(b) in the case of an application with respect to a wire or electronic communication-

(i) the application is by a Federal

investigative or law enforcement officer and is approved by the Attorney General, the Deputy Attorney General, the Associate Attorney General, and Assistant Attorney General, or an acting Assistant Attorney General;

(ii) the application identifies the person believed to be committing the offense and whose communications are to be intercepted and the applicant makes a showing of a purpose, on the part of that person, to thwart interception by changing facilities; and

(iii) the judge finds that such purpose has been adequately shown.

(12) An interception of a communication under an order with respect to which the requirements of subsections (1)(b)(ii) and (3)(d) of this section do not apply by reason of subsection (11) shall not begin until the facilities from which, or the place where, the communication is to be intercepted is ascertained by the person implementing the interception order. A provider of wire or electronic communications service that has received an order as provided for in subsection (11)(b) may move the court to modify or quash the order on the ground that its assistance with respect to the interception cannot be performed in a timely or reasonable fashion. The court, upon notice to the government, shall decide such a motion expeditiously.

Sec. 2519. Reports concerning intercepted wire, oral, or electronic communications

(1) Within thirty days after the expiration of an order

(or each extension thereof) entered under section 2518, or the denial of an order approving an interception, the issuing or denying judge shall report to the Administrative Office of the United States Courts-

(a) the fact that an order or extension was applied for;

(b) the kind of order or extension applied for (including whether or not the order was an order with respect to which the requirements of sections 3518(1)(b)(ii) and 2518(3)(d) of this title did not apply by reason of section 2518(11) of this title);

(c) the fact that the order or extension was granted for, was modified, or was denied;

(d) the period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order;

(e) the offense specified in the order or application, or extension of an order;

(f) the identity of the applying investigative or law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application; and

(g) the nature of the facilities from which or the place where communications were to be intercepted.

(2) In January of each year the Attorney General, an Assistant Attorney General specially designated by the Attorney General, or the principal prosecuting attorney of a State, or the principal prosecuting attorney for any political subdivision of a State, shall report to the

Administrative Office of the United States Courts-

(a) the information required by paragraphs (a) through (g) of subsection (1) of this section with respect to each application for an order or extension made during the preceding calendar year;

(b) a general description of the interceptions made under such order or extension, including (i) approximate nature and frequency of incriminating communications intercepted, (ii) the approximate nature and frequency of other communications intercepted, (iii) the approximate number of persons whose communications were intercepted, and (iv) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the interceptions;

(c) the number of arrests resulting from interceptions made under such order or extension, and the offenses for which arrests were made;

(d) the number of trials resulting from such interceptions;

(e) the number of motions to suppress made with respect to such interceptions, and the number granted or denied;

(f) the number of convictions resulting from such interceptions and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions; and

(g) the information required by paragraphs (b) through (f) of this subsection with respect to orders or extensions obtained in a proceeding calendar year.

(3) In April of each year the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall transmit to the Congress a full and complete report concerning the number of applications for orders authorizing or approving the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications pursuant to this chapter and the number of orders and extensions granted or denied pursuant to this chapter during the preceding calendar year. Such report shall include a summary and analysis of the data required to be filed with the Administrative Office by subsections (1) and (2) of this section. The Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts is authorized to issue binding regulations dealing with the content and form of the reports required to be filed by subsections (1) and (2) of this section.

Sec. 2520. Recovery of civil damages authorized

(a) In general. -Except as provided in section 2511(2)(a)(ii), any person whose wire, oral, or electronic communication is intercepted, disclosed, or intentionally used in violation of this chapter may in a civil action recover from the person or entity which engaged in that violation such relief as may be appropriate.

(b) Relief. -In an action under this section, appropriate relief includes-

(1) such preliminary and other equitable or declaratory relief as may be appropriate;

(2) damages under subsection (c) and punitive

damages in appropriate cases; and

(3) a reasonable attorney's fee and other litigation costs reasonably incurred.

(c) Computation of damages. - (1) In an action under this section, if the conduct in violation of this chapter is the private viewing of a private satellite video communication that is not scrambled or encrypted or if the communication is a radio communication that is transmitted on frequencies allocated under subpart D of part 74 of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission that is not scrambled or encrypted and the conduct is not for a tortuous or illegal purpose or for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain, then the court shall assess damages as follows:

(A) If the person who engaged in that conduct has not previously been enjoined under section 2511(5) and has not been found liable in a prior civil action under this section, the court shall assess the greater of the sum of actual damages suffered by the plaintiff, or statutory damages of not less than \$50 and not more than \$500.

(B) If, on one prior occasion, the person who engaged in that conduct has been enjoined under section 2511(5) or has been found liable in a civil action under this section, the court shall assess the greater of the sum of actual damages suffered by the plaintiff, or statutory damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1000.

(2) In any other action under this section, the court may assess as damages whichever is the greater of-

(A) the sum of the actual damages suffered by the plaintiff and any profits made by the violator as a result of the violation;

(B) statutory damages of whichever is the greater of \$100 a day for each day of violation or \$10,000.

(d) Defense. -A good faith reliance on-

(1) a court warrant or order, a grand jury subpoena, a legislative legislative authorization, or a statutory authorization;

(2) a request of an investigative or law enforcement officer under section 2518(7) of this title; or

(3) a good faith determination that section 2511(3) of this title permitted the conduct complained of; is a complete defense against any civil or criminal action brought under this chapter or any other law.

(e) Limitation. -A civil action under this section may not be commence later than two years after the date upon which the claimant first has a reasonable opportunity to discover the violation.



ประวัติผู้เขียน

นางสาวกิตติมา ประคุณคดี เกิดวันที่ 26 ธันวาคม 2505 ที่กรุงเทพมหานคร
สำเร็จการศึกษานิติศาสตรบัณฑิต จากมหาวิทยาลัยธรรมศาสตร์ ปีการศึกษา 2526 และ
เนติบัณฑิต จากสำนักอบรมศึกษากฎหมายแห่งเนติบัณฑิตยสภา สมัยที่ 38 ปีการศึกษา 2528 และ
เข้าศึกษาในหลักสูตรนิติศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต ภาควิชานิติศาสตร์ บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์
มหาวิทยาลัย เมื่อปีการศึกษา 2528

ประวัติการทำงาน

25 ม.ค. 2528 - 15 มี.ค. 2530

ประกอบอาชีพทนายความ

16 มี.ค. 2530 - 11 ก.พ. 2533

รับราชการ ตำแหน่งนิติกรระดับ 4 กองนิติการ
และพิสูจน์หลักฐาน สำนักงาน ป.ป.ส.

12 ก.พ. 2533 - ปัจจุบัน

รับราชการ ตำแหน่งพนักงานอัยการผู้ช่วย
กองคดีอาญา กอง 6 กรมอัยการ

✓